

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMM United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/730,716	12/08/2003	Edward M. Dowd	WEAT/0548	3959
36735	7590 12/08/2004		EXAM	INER
	ATTERSON & SHERI	PRASAD, CHANDRIKA		
	DAK BOULEVARD, SU TX 77056-6582	re 1500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
110001011,	111 ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2839	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del>, ,</del>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/730,716	DOWD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chandrika Prasad	2839					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 De</u>	ecember 2003.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/al	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	a-(d) or (f)					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)	" <del>[ ]</del>						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2839

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the sensing device must be shown and identified by a reference numeral or the feature(s) canceled from the claim 14. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

Art Unit: 2839

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

## Specification

- 3. The following is a quotation of an appropriate paragraph of 37 CFR 1.75:
  - (d) The claim or claims must conform to the invention as set forth in the remainder of the specification and the terms and phrases used in the claims must find clear support or antecedent basis in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description. (See 1.58(a)).
- 4. Specification is objected under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(d) because the sensing device (see claim 14) has not been described.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1, 4-8, 10-15 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Murata et al.(6779931).

Murata (Figures 1-14B) shows a method for preparing an end of an optical fiber cable comprising exposing a length of inner tubing 21b extending from cable armor 22b, exposing a length of one or more optical waveguides (not shown) extending from the inner tubing, securing the cable armor 22b to the inner tubing 21b, feeding the optical waveguides through protective tubing extending from an end of a retention assembly 11

Application/Control Number: 10/730,716 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

surrounded by a mating armor, securing the mating armor to the inner tubing and filling the retention assembly with an adhesive 31 through a fill port 13, and curing the adhesive with an ultra violet lamp thus securing the optical waveguides to the retention assembly. Murata also shows a splice with another waveguide extending from a member (another cable or sensing device) wherein the waveguide is contained in a tubing 21a with armor 22a of the member (the other cable) cable joined to the first optical fiber cable. The retention assembly has a fill tube. Murata further shows the use of fusion splicing to be convention known. The retention assembly is made of a translucent polymer. Murata further shows a cover 51 with compression fitting at the ends and the spliced waveguides within the cover.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2, 3, 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata et al.(6779931) in view of Szentesi et al. (5748819).

Murata shows all the features of these claims except securing the armor to the inner tubing by concentrically crimping. Such a feature is well known in the art of optical and electrical connectors. Szentesi shows such a crimping assembly 60. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to

Application/Control Number: 10/730,716 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

provide such a feature because this provides an easy and inexpensive method for securing the armor to the tubing as is well known in the art.

### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Szentesi et al. (5748819), Schumacher (4162821) and Onstott et al. (4588256)

#### Contact Information

10. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

> **Commissioner for Patents** Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Primary examiner

December 06, 2004